ONE HUNDRED SEVENTEENTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY
2138 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6216

(202) 225-3951 judiciary.house.gov

June 8, 2021

The Honorable Merrick B. Garland Attorney General of the United States U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530

Dear Attorney General Garland,

Yesterday, the Department of Justice announced that it would continue the previous Administration's push to represent former President Trump, at taxpayer expense, in a defamation lawsuit brought by E. Jean Carroll. That decision seems profoundly misguided. We write to urge you to reconsider.

Although DOJ maintains that its position has nothing to do with the merits of Ms. Carroll's case, the facts surrounding the lawsuit matter greatly in understanding the deeply problematic implications of the Department's actions. Ms. Carroll alleged in an article in June 2019 that Mr. Trump sexually assaulted her inside a dressing room at the Bergdorf Goodman department store in New York in the 1990s. Mr. Trump responded by denying the assault, accusing Ms. Carroll of lying about the incident, and stating that he could not have engaged in any sexual conduct with her because she was not his "type." Ms. Carroll filed a defamation lawsuit against Mr. Trump based on these statements. She has been waiting for more than a year and a half for the opportunity to make her case on the merits.

As a policy matter, we are concerned that DOJ has taken the position that federal officials act within the scope of their employment—and, therefore, enjoy immunity from civil liability—whenever they defame someone, so long as there is *some* connection between the statement and their official responsibilities. Are we to understand that federal employees are free to engage in private tortious conduct for personal gain, so long as they maintain federal employment and can assert some pretextual benefit to the public for their actions? President Trump's disgusting comments about Ms. Carroll had *nothing* to do with his official responsibilities as President, and the whole world knows it. Survivors of sexual assault, among other victims, deserve better.

Although we appreciate that the Department is constrained in the extent to which it can engage with us on matters related pending litigation, we request that you provide us with a

briefing to explain the Department's position in this case. We would, of course, not object if the Department changed its position before such a briefing can be arranged.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jerrold Nadler

Chairman

House Committee on the Judiciary

Sheila Jackson Lee Member of Congress

Henry C. "Hank" Johnson

Member of Congress

Karen Bass

Member of Congress

Eric Swalwell

Member of Congress

Zoe Lofgren

Member of Congress

Stere Care

Steve Cohen

Member of Congress

Theodore E. Deutch Member of Congress

David N. Cicilline

Member of Congress

Ted W. Lien

1 M. Cerlline

Ted W. Lieu

Member of Congress

Jamie Kaski Jamie Raskin

Member of Congress

So Suis Com

J. Luis Correa Member of Congress



Sylvia R. Garcia Member of Congress

Lucy McBath
Member of Congress

Madelune Dean

Madeleine Dean Member of Congress

Mondaire Jones Member of Congress

Mandaine Janes

Cori Bush Member of Congress

Pramile Jay C

Pramila Jayapal Member of Congress

May Galan

Mary Gay Scanlon Member of Congress

goellegist

Joe Neguse Member of Congress

Dreg Stanton

Greg Stanton Member of Congress

Vernica Errbar

The Kn_

Veronica Escobar Member of Congress

Deborah Ross Member of Congress cc: The Honorable Jim Jordan, Ranking Member, House Committee on the Judiciary