..... (Original Signature of Member)

116TH CONGRESS 2D Session



To amend the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 to provide technical assistance and training to State and local courts to improve the constitutional and equitable enforcement of fines, fees, and monetary bail, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. NADLER introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on _____

A BILL

- To amend the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 to provide technical assistance and training to State and local courts to improve the constitutional and equitable enforcement of fines, fees, and monetary bail, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- 4 This Act may be cited as the "State Justice Improve-
- 5 ment Act".

1 SEC. 2. FINDINGS; PURPOSE.

2 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

3 (1) The Supreme Court of the United States 4 has repeatedly held that the government may not in-5 carcerate an individual solely because of the inability 6 of the individual to pay a fine or fee.

7 (2) In 2019, the United States Court of Ap-8 peals for the Fifth Circuit ruled that it is unconsti-9 tutional to imprison people for failing to pay fines 10 and fees without inquiring into their ability to pay. 11 The Fifth Circuit also ruled that it is unconstitu-12 tional for judges to determine ability to pay when 13 court debts help pay court budgets.

14 (3) Under section 3142 of title 18, United 15 States Code, Federal judicial officers may not im-16 pose a financial condition that results in the pretrial 17 detention of an individual.

18 (4) In 2017, a report by United States Com-19 mission on Civil Rights evaluated evidence that—

20 (A) 47 states increased their fines and fees 21 in recent years, including fines and fees im-22 posed on juveniles;

(B) in Virginia, 1 in 6 drivers had license 23 24 revoked as a result of an inability to pay court 25 fines and fees;

1	(C) in New Jersey, 42 percent of sus-
2	pended drivers lost their jobs as a result of the
3	suspension;
4	(D) in the 50 cities with the highest pro-
5	portion of revenues from fines, the median size
6	of the African American population in each city
7	was greater than 5 times the median in the
8	United States;
9	(E) in Washington, Latinos received higher
10	fine assessments than non-Latino whites for
11	similar offenses;
12	(F) 10 counties in California detained ap-
13	proximately 700 people per month for an aver-
14	age of 3 days as a result of a failure to pay and
15	driving with a suspended license; and
16	(G) according to the Department of Jus-
17	tice on the investigation of the Ferguson Police
18	Department, revenue collection, not public safe-
19	ty, was the primary impetus behind the collec-
20	tion of fines and fees.
21	(5) There is no clear evidence that fines and
22	fees are an effective crime deterrent.
23	(6) Defendants released from custody with no
24	financial penalty return to court at the same rate as
25	defendants released on financial bond.

(7) The burden of fines and fees is dispropor tionately shouldered by low-income communities and
 communities of color, which in turn aggravates and
 perpetuates poverty and racial inequalities.

5 (8) Cities with larger black populations fine 6 residents more on a per capita basis and are more 7 reliant on fines. A 1 percent increase in a black pop-8 ulation is associated with a 5 percent increase in per 9 capita revenue from fines and a 1 percent increase 10 in share of total revenue from fines.

(9) In addition, data on the extent to which individuals are jailed or otherwise penalized because of their inability to pay fee-only offenses are insufficiently developed, preventing a full picture of the pervasiveness of targeted fees, as well as the repetitive impact on individuals from both low-income communities and communities of color.

(10) Decisions regarding pretrial release or detention adds financial stress to individuals unable to
pay monetary bail and the jails holding those unable
to pay.

(11) Individuals gave up necessities like rent,
food, medical bills, car payments, and child support,
in order to pay down their court debt.

1	(12) Thirty-eight percent of people surveyed
2	committed a crime to pay off their court debt.
3	(13) Driver's licenses are often suspended auto-
4	matically when cases are transferred to private col-
5	lectors and are not restored until debts are paid in
6	full.
7	(14) Thirty States continue to require payment
8	of all legal financial obligations before voting rights
9	are restored, effectively disenfranchising individuals
10	because of an inability to pay.
11	(15) Many jurisdictions across the country rely
12	on fines and fees as a primary revenue source.
13	(16) A 2019 analysis of fine revenues found
14	that—
15	(A) fines are a critical source of funding,
16	at times accounting for more than half of all
17	general revenues;
18	(B) fines and fees account for more than
19	10 percent of general fund revenues for nearly
20	600 jurisdictions, and in at least 284 of those,
21	the share exceeded 20 percent, while another 80
22	governments reported even higher fines ac-
23	counting for more than half of general reve-
24	nues;

1	(C) annual revenues exceeding \$100 for
2	every adult resident, while 363 exceeded $$200$
3	per adult in all the governments analyzed;
4	(D) the States with the highest fines and
5	fees revenue are Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana,
6	New York, Oklahoma, and Texas; and
7	(E) jurisdictions where fines and forfeit-
8	ures accounted for more than 20 percent of
9	general fund revenues recorded a median house-
10	hold income of only \$39,594.
11	(17) The dependency on fines and fees creates
12	a harmful incentive for courts to levy fines and fees
13	on indigent individuals regardless of the severity of
14	the crime.
15	(18) However, some jurisdiction spent more
16	than the revenue they raised collecting fees, there-
17	fore losing money through this system.
18	(19) In some jurisdictions like New Orleans the
19	cost of incarcerating individuals unable to pay fines,
20	fees, and monetary bail exceeded the revenue gen-
21	erated from those practices.
22	(20) Some jurisdictions in Texas and New Mex-
23	ico spent 41 cents of every dollar of revenue they
24	raise from fees and fines on in-court hearings and
25	jail costs alone.

(21) In almost every State and the District of
 Columbia, juvenile courts impose court costs, fines,
 and fees on youth, their families, or both. These
 costs may increase recidivism, increase the potential
 of future jail or prison time, exacerbate racial in equality, and increase the economic and emotional
 distress of low-income families.

8 (22) Imposing fines and fees on minors and 9 their families is ineffective as a revenue-generating 10 measure, often because minors in the criminal jus-11 tice system come from indigent families. Imposing 12 these fines and fees increases recidivism and eco-13 nomic and emotional hardship on families.

(b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this Act is to create
a grant program to provide technical assistance and training to State and local courts to—

17 (1) improve the constitutional and equitable en-18 forcement of fines, fees, and monetary bail;

19 (2) improve practices regarding the use of fines
20 and fees and their equitable enforcement when used;
21 and

(3) collect data to better understand the research and best practices of State and local courts
on a Federal level.

1	SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.
2	Section 202 of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984
3	(42 U.S.C. 10701) is amended—
4	(1) in paragraph (7), by striking "and" at the
5	end;
6	(2) in paragraph (8), by striking the period at
7	the end and inserting a semicolon; and
8	(3) by adding at the end the following:
9	"(9) 'constitutionally adequate notice' means a
10	citation or summons that adequately informs an in-
11	dividual of—
12	"(A) the precise offense with which the in-
13	dividual is charged;
14	"(B) the amount currently owed by the in-
15	dividual and other possible penalties;
16	"(C) consequences for nonpayment;
17	"(D) the method and means for accepting
18	payments;
19	"(E) the date of any court hearing;
20	"(F) the availability of alternate means of
21	payment;
22	"(G) the rules and procedures of the court;
23	"(H) the rights of the individual as a liti-
24	gant; and
25	"(I) whether the individual is required to
26	appear in court in person;

(757237|1)

1	"(10) 'fees'—
2	"(A) means monetary fees that are im-
3	posed for the costs of fine surcharges or court
4	administrative fees; and
5	"(B) includes additional late fees, pay-
6	ment-plan fees, interest added if an individual
7	is unable to pay a fine in its entirety, collection
8	fees, and any additional amounts that do not
9	include the fine;
10	"(11) 'fines' means monetary fines imposed for
11	punishment;
12	"(12) "monetary bail" means a payment of
13	money or purchase of a surety bond to obtain the re-
14	lease from jail; and
15	"(13) 'surcharge' means a monetary amount
16	added to a fine as a flat amount or a percentage.".
17	SEC. 4. CONSTITUTIONAL ENFORCEMENT OF FINES AND
18	FEES AND MONETARY BAIL.
19	(a) Duties of the Institute.—Section 203(b) of
20	the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C.
21	10702(b)) is amended—
22	(1) in paragraph (3), by striking "and" at the
23	end;
24	(2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at
25	the end and inserting "; and"; and

1	(3) by adding at the end the following:
2	"(5) assist State and local courts in the con-
3	stitutional and equitable enforcement of fines and
4	fees.".
5	(b) Purposes of Grants.—
6	(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 206(a) of the State
7	Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10705(a))
8	is amended—
9	(A) in paragraph (6), by striking "and" at
10	the end;
11	(B) in paragraph (7), by striking the pe-
12	riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and
13	(C) by adding at the end the following:
14	"(8) provide technical assistance and training
15	to State and local courts to develop and implement
16	best policies and practices for the constitutional and
17	equitable enforcement of fines and fees that incor-
18	porate guidance that—
19	"(A) courts should not incarcerate or issue
20	an arrest warrant for an individual for the non-
21	payment of a fine or fee without first con-
22	ducting an ability-to-pay determination and es-
23	tablishing that the failure to pay was inten-
24	tional;

1	"(B) courts should consider alternatives to
2	incarceration for defendants who are currently
3	unable to pay fines and monetary bail;
4	"(C) courts should not condition access to
5	a judicial hearing on the prepayment of a fine
6	or fee or a promise of future payment of a fine
7	or fee;
8	"(D) courts should provide constitutionally
9	adequate notices and counsel in cases in which
10	a fine or fee will be imposed;
11	"(E) courts should not initiate driver's li-
12	cense suspension procedures for nonpayment of
13	a fine or fee;
14	"(F) if courts choose to issue an arrest
15	warrant or suspend a driver's license as a
16	means of coercing an individual to pay a fine or
17	fee owed to the court, courts should not do so
18	if the individual has not been afforded constitu-
19	tionally adequate procedural protections;
20	"(G) courts should determine the ability to
21	pay of an individual at sentencing prior to de-
22	termining a constitutional and equitable fine
23	and fee;
24	"(H) courts should reduce and waive fines
25	and fees if the court has discretion in cases

1	where the imposition of fines and fees would be
2	unconstitutional and inequitable or cause undue
3	hardship to the individual;
4	"(I) courts should avoid adopting manda-
5	tory fines and fees for misdemeanors and traf-
6	fic-related and other low-level offenses and in-
7	fractions;
8	"(J) courts should grant judges the au-
9	thority and discretion to modify sanctions after
10	sentencing if the circumstances of the defend-
11	ant change, including that the ability of the de-
12	fendant to pay a fine or fee becomes a hard-
13	ship;
13 14	ship; ''(K) courts should adopt education re-
14	"(K) courts should adopt education re-
14 15	"(K) courts should adopt education re- quirements for judges and court personnel on
14 15 16	"(K) courts should adopt education re- quirements for judges and court personnel on issues related to all relevant constitutional and
14 15 16 17	"(K) courts should adopt education re- quirements for judges and court personnel on issues related to all relevant constitutional and procedural principles relating to fines and fees;
14 15 16 17 18	"(K) courts should adopt education re- quirements for judges and court personnel on issues related to all relevant constitutional and procedural principles relating to fines and fees; "(L) courts should not impose a fine, fee,
14 15 16 17 18 19	 "(K) courts should adopt education requirements for judges and court personnel on issues related to all relevant constitutional and procedural principles relating to fines and fees; "(L) courts should not impose a fine, fee, or any other penalty for the participation of an
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 "(K) courts should adopt education requirements for judges and court personnel on issues related to all relevant constitutional and procedural principles relating to fines and fees; "(L) courts should not impose a fine, fee, or any other penalty for the participation of an individual in community service programs or
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 "(K) courts should adopt education requirements for judges and court personnel on issues related to all relevant constitutional and procedural principles relating to fines and fees; "(L) courts should not impose a fine, fee, or any other penalty for the participation of an individual in community service programs or other alternative sanctions;

should be used, including fair wage attribution,

1	caps on number of hours performed, and per-
2	missible activities of service;
3	"(N) courts should not order or extend
4	probation or other court-ordered supervision ex-
5	clusively for the purpose of collecting fines, fees,
6	or costs;
7	"(O) courts should not charge interest on
8	payment plans entered into by a defendant, re-
9	spondent, or probationer; and
10	"(P) courts should consider the use of
11	community service credits such as completing
12	community service hours, domestic violence
13	counseling, and drug treatment programs, as an
14	alternative to payments; and
15	"(9) provide technical assistance and training
16	to State and local courts to develop and implement
17	best policies and practices for the constitutional en-
18	forcement of monetary bail that incorporate guid-
19	ance that—
20	"(A) courts should not employ monetary
21	bail or bond practices that cause defendants to
22	remain incarcerated solely because they cannot
23	afford to pay for their release;
24	"(B) courts should not impose monetary
25	bail—

1	"(i) as prepayment of anticipated
2	fines and fees; or
3	"(ii) as a method for collecting past-
4	due fines and fees;
5	"(C) courts should eliminate and prohibit
6	the use of monetary bail schedules;
7	"(D) courts should impose a monetary pre-
8	trial release option if it is the least restrictive
9	pretrial release option available;
10	"(E) courts should only detain an indi-
11	vidual if there is clear and convincing evidence
12	that the individual poses a serious imminent
13	risk of—
14	"(i) a danger to the community; or
15	"(ii) flight;
16	"(F) courts should safeguard against un-
17	constitutional practices by court staff and pri-
18	vate contractors; and
19	"(G) courts should not pass the costs of
20	pretrial release and electronic monitoring to the
21	defendant, probationer, or parolee, and if the
22	court determines that electronic monitoring is
23	necessary, any associated costs should belong to
24	the jurisdiction.".

1	(2) Regulations.—Not later than 90 days
2	after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Ex-
3	ecutive Director of the State Justice Institute shall
4	promulgate regulations to implement the amend-
5	ments made by paragraph (1), including—
6	(A) the information that shall be included
7	in an application for funding under section 206
8	of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42)
9	U.S.C. 10705); and
10	(B) any other requirements applicable to
11	grantees under that section.
12	(c) Records and Reports.—Section 211(a) of the
13	State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10710(a))
14	is amended—
15	(1) by striking "The Institute" and inserting
16	"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Institute"; and
17	(2) by adding at the end the following
18	"(2) Report on fines, fees, and monetary
19	BAIL.—
20	"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Institute shall re-
21	quire that a recipient of a grant awarded for
22	the purpose described in paragraph (8) of sec-
23	tion 206(a) shall submit to the Institute an an-
24	nual report that includes, for the previous 12-
25	month period—

1	"(i) the number of new admissions to
2	jail or prison due to failures to pay fines
3	or fees;
4	"(ii) the number of new admissions to
5	jail or prison due to failure to appear when
6	the underlying offense is a failure to pay
7	a fine or fee;
8	"(iii) the number and type of alter-
9	natives considered for defendants who are
10	unable to pay fees and fines;
11	"(iv) the number of times a judicial
12	hearing was contingent upon the prepay-
13	ment of fines and fees, including hearing
14	fees if the court deems the defendant ineli-
15	gible for a fee waiver;
16	"(v) the number of times constitu-
17	tionally adequate notices were provided to
18	counsel in cases in which a fine or fee will
19	be imposed;
20	"(vi) the number of times an arrest
21	warrant or driver's license suspension was
22	used as a means of coercing an individual
23	to pay a fine or fee owed to the court;
24	"(vii) the number of additional fees
25	imposed by the department of motor vehi-

1	cles to get a driver's license reinstated or
2	suspension lifted;
3	"(viii) the number of times monetary
4	bail practices were used that caused de-
5	fendants to stay incarcerated due to their
6	inability to pay a fine or fee;
7	"(ix) the number of times voter dis-
8	enfranchisement was used as a result of an
9	individual's inability to pay a fine or a fee
10	owed to the court;
11	"(x) a disaggregation of the data de-
12	scribed in this subparagraph by race, gen-
13	der, and disability status; and
14	"(xi) any other additional statistical
15	data that the Director determines should
16	be collected and reported.
17	"(B) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—The Insti-
18	tute shall submit to the Bureau of Justice Sta-
19	tistics and to the Committee on Appropriations
20	and Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate
21	and the Committee on Appropriations and the
22	Committee on the Judiciary of the House of
23	Representatives an annual report on the data
24	submitted under subparagraph (A).".
25	(d) Study.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 3 years after 2 the date on which grants are first awarded for the 3 purpose described in paragraph (8) of section 206(a)4 of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984, as added 5 by subsection (b) of this section, the Executive Di-6 rector of the State Justice Institute shall conduct a 7 study on the effectiveness such grants on the con-8 stitutional enforcement of targeted fines, fees, and 9 monetary bail by State and local courts.

10 (2) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after 11 the date on which the Executive Director of the 12 State Justice Institute completes the study under 13 paragraph (1), the Executive Director shall submit 14 to Congress a report on the study and any policy 15 recommendations that the Executive Director deter-16 mines are appropriate.

17 (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section 18 215 of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10713) is amended, in the first sentence by striking 19 20 "\$7,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2005, 2006, 2007, 21 and 2008" and inserting "\$27,000,000 for each of fiscal 22 years 2021 through 2026, of which \$20,000,000 shall be 23 authorized to be appropriated for grants under paragraph 24 (8) of section 206(a)".