

Refugee Council USA

March 16, 2015

The Honorable Bob Goodlatte, Chairman
House Judiciary Committee
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable John Conyers, Jr., Ranking Minority Member
House Judiciary Committee
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairman Goodlatte and Ranking Member Conyers:

On behalf of the twenty member organizations of Refugee Council USA (RCUSA), a coalition devoted to refugee protection and assistance, I write to express opposition to the Michael Davis, Jr. in Honor of State and Local Law Enforcement Act, H.R. 1148. This bill would negatively impact individuals fleeing persecution, including refugees, asylum-seekers, and stateless people. This legislation expands overly broad laws targeting terrorism with negative consequences for refugees and asylees. It expands our immigration detention system that currently holds many torture survivors, asylum-seekers, and others seeking protection in the United States from persecution in their home countries. Finally, it unwisely delegates the enforcement of our national immigration laws to state and local law enforcement agencies despite demonstrated instances of profiling and subsequent weakening of community safety.

In 2001, Congress enacted legislation that significantly broadened the definition of “terrorist activity.” Because the definition is so broad, it encompasses many activities that have no realistic connections to terrorism. Refugees who fled because they were forced to provide money or services to terrorists, and those who supported freedom fighters rising up against the most repressive regimes in the world, are mislabeled as “terrorists” under the overly expansive law.

The provisions that created these new bars to admission are collectively known as the terrorism-related inadmissibility grounds or “TRIG” or “material support” provisions. For nearly a decade they have been causing tremendous unnecessary hardship for individuals who have fled persecution. Under these provisions, many refugees seeking safety – including those with family already in the United States – are barred from entering the United States. In addition, many refugees and asylees already granted protection and legally living in the United States are barred from obtaining green cards and reuniting with their spouses and children who remain in dangerous situations abroad.

A bipartisan coalition in Congress led by Senators Patrick Leahy (D-VT) and Jon Kyl (R-AZ) amended the law in 2007 to authorize the Administration to exempt persons with no actual connection to terrorism from the broad anti-terrorism provisions of the immigration law. However, because of the sweeping nature of the law, and the Administration’s slow implementation of its authority to grant exemptions in deserving cases, thousands of people in the United States and abroad are mired in legal limbo by definitions of “terrorism” widely acknowledged to be needlessly harming refugees the United States has committed to protect.

As Congress considers reforms to our immigration system, it should resolve the issue of the thousands of refugees and asylees who have been mislabeled as “terrorists.”

1628 16th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20009
tel: 202.319.2102
fax: 202.319.2104
www.rcusa.org

Members:

Asylum Access
Center for Applied Linguistics (CAL)
Center for Victims of Torture
Church World Service/
Immigration & Refugee
Program
Episcopal Migration Ministries
Ethiopian Community
Development Council
HIAS
Human Rights First
International Catholic
Migration Commission
International Rescue
Committee
Iraqi Refugee Assistance
Project
Jesuit Refugee Service/USA
Jubilee Campaign USA
Lutheran Immigration
and Refugee Service
ORAM – Organization for
Refuge, Asylum & Migration
RefugePoint
Southeast Asia Resource
Action Center
U.S. Conference of Catholic
Bishops/Migration & Refugee
Services
U.S. Committee for Refugees
and Immigrants
World Relief

Instead, the H.R. 1148H.R. 1148 would make the problem even worse.

Sections 202 and 203, “Terrorist Bar to Good Moral Character” and “Terrorist Bar to Naturalization,” would bar from a finding of good moral character and naturalization, anyone who is described as a “terrorist” under section 212(a)(3)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act. While on its face this may seem reasonable, in fact, this provision would bar law abiding refugees who have lived in the U.S. for years or even decades from naturalization.

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and the Department of Justice interpret the term “terrorist activity” to include *any amount and all types of support* to armed opposition to any established government, no matter how repressive, and even to include acts committed under duress. Support can include providing small amounts of money or food, attending meetings or joining groups, and even political speech. Under these agencies’ interpretation of the law, *even if this “support” is coerced*, it can bar a refugee’s admission to the United States or adjustment to permanent resident status.

Under this legal interpretation, even survivors of the Warsaw Ghetto uprising are considered “terrorists,” as are Iraqis who rose up against Saddam Hussein and fought alongside Coalition forces, Afghan groups that fought the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan with U.S. support, democratic opposition parties in Sudan and the South Sudanese opposition movement (that is now the ruling party of South Sudan), nearly all Ethiopian and Eritrean political parties and movements, religious and other minority groups that fought the ruling military junta in Burma, and any group that has used armed force against the regime in Iran since the 1979 revolution.

The assumption that “aliens described in section 212(a)(3)” are “Persons Endangering the National Security” is a false one. This is one of the core problems with the INA’s terrorism-related inadmissibility grounds, and is also the reason why Congress gave the Administration statutory authority to grant people exemptions from those grounds. We are concerned that this provision could even result in the denial of naturalization for refugees who have gone through the arduous process of being granted an exemption from the terrorism bars by the Department of Homeland Security.

Section 205 “Use of 1986 IRCA legalization information for national security purposes” and 206, “Background and Security Checks” would require DHS to complete background and security checks before granting any immigration application, including for employment authorization, or any immigrant or non-immigrant petition, or before issuing any proof of status to a person. This could have serious consequences for applicants for immigration benefits or relief, including those who apply for asylum, whose security and background checks are grossly delayed for reasons beyond their control and who are ultimately cleared. Individuals mislabeled as terrorists whose cases have been on hold while the Administration slowly develops procedures for issuing exemptions from the terrorism bars under the Kyl-Leahy agreement would be denied work authorization while their cases drag out for years.

Additionally, **Title I** of the H.R. 1148 would expand the role of state and local law enforcement agencies in enforcing federal immigration law. By granting states and localities full authority to create, implement, and enforce immigration laws, the Act would hand state and local police officers vast authority without federal oversight. The approach could lead to racial profiling and discrimination. Those who “look undocumented,” including refugees and asylees, would be subject to law enforcement stops, arrests, and detention. This approach could decrease public safety by fostering a fear of law enforcement in migrant and refugee communities making survivors and witnesses of crimes less willing to cooperate with law enforcement.

Finally, **Section 107** of the H.R. 1148 requires DHS to add additional detention facilities to the


network of over 250 jails and jail-like facilities used to detain individuals in immigration proceedings or awaiting repatriation. **Section 311** also eliminates current prohibitions on indefinite detention of individuals for immigration purposes. Many individuals seeking protection in the United States from persecution and torture in their home countries would be directly harmed by these changes. Notably, stateless individuals often spend significant lengths of time in immigration detention given their inability to obtain travel documents.

The H.R. 1148 undermines our nation's legal obligations to refugees and would cause unnecessary hardship for those who seek protection and those who have already proven that they are legitimate refugees and have received protection in the United States. It represents a return to failed "enforcement only" immigration policies that harm our communities and traumatize vulnerable populations such as refugees and asylum seekers.

As a global leader in protecting the most vulnerable, it is important that the United States uphold its commitment to refugees and asylum-seekers who come to our country in search of safety, freedom and new lives for themselves and their families. As conversations continue in the House of Representatives around how to best reform our immigration laws, RCUSA urges you to oppose the H.R. 1148 and similar laws.

Thank you for your leadership. We hope you will ensure that any immigration reform upholds the United States' proud history and tradition of protecting and welcoming victims of persecution, oppression and torture.

Sincerely,



Anastasia K. Brown
Chair, Refugee Council USA

cc: The Honorable John Boehner
The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
The Honorable Jim Sensenbrenner, Jr.
The Lamar Smith
The Honorable Steve Chabot
The Honorable Darrell Issa
The Honorable Randy Forbes
The Honorable Steve King
The Honorable Trent Franks
The Honorable Louie Gohmert
The Honorable Jim Jordan
The Honorable Ted Poe
The Honorable Jason Chaffetz
The Honorable Tom Marino
The Honorable Trey Gowdy
The Honorable Raul Labrador
The Honorable Blake Farenthold,
The Honorable Doug Collins
The Honorable Ron DeSantis
The Honorable Mimi Walters
The Honorable Ken Buck,
The Honorable John Ratcliffe
The Honorable Dave Trott
The Honorable Mike Bishop
The Honorable Jerry Nadler
The Honorable Zoe Lofgren
The Honorable Sheila Jackson Lee
The Honorable Steve Cohen
The Honorable Hank Johnson,
The Honorable Pedro Pierluisi

The Honorable Judy Chu
The Honorable Ted Deutch
The Honorable Luis Guterrez
The Honorable Karen Bass
The Honorable Cedric Richmond
The Honorable Suzan DelBene
The Honorable Hakeem Jeffries
The Honorable David Cicilline
The Honorable Scott Peters