(Original Signature of Member)

117TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

## H.R.

To amend the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 to provide technical assistance and training to State and local courts to improve the constitutional and equitable enforcement of fines and fees, and for other purposes.

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr.	Nadler	introduced	the	following	bill;	which	was	referred	to	the
	Cor	nmittee on								

## A BILL

To amend the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 to provide technical assistance and training to State and local courts to improve the constitutional and equitable enforcement of fines and fees, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "State Justice Improve-
- 5 ment Act".
- 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS; PURPOSE.
- 7 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

1	(1) The Supreme Court of the United States
2	has repeatedly held that the government may not in-
3	carcerate an individual solely because of the inability
4	of the individual to pay a fine or fee.
5	(2) In 2019, the United States Court of Ap-
6	peals for the Fifth Circuit ruled that it is unconsti-
7	tutional to imprison people for failing to pay fines
8	and fees without inquiring into their ability to pay
9	The Fifth Circuit also ruled that it is unconstitu-
10	tional for judges to determine ability to pay when
11	court debts help pay court budgets.
12	(3) Under section 3142 of title 18, United
13	States Code, Federal judicial officers may not im-
14	pose a financial condition that results in the pretrial
15	detention of an individual.
16	(4) In 2017, a report by United States Com-
17	mission on Civil Rights evaluated evidence that—
18	(A) 47 states increased their fines and fees
19	in recent years, including fines and fees im-
20	posed on juveniles;
21	(B) in Virginia, 1 in 6 drivers had license
22	revoked as a result of an inability to pay court
23	fines and fees;

1	(C) in New Jersey, 42 percent of sus-
2	pended drivers lost their jobs as a result of the
3	suspension;
4	(D) in the 50 cities with the highest pro-
5	portion of revenues from fines, the median size
6	of the African American population in each city
7	was greater than 5 times the median in the
8	United States;
9	(E) in Washington, Latinos received higher
10	fine assessments than non-Latino whites for
11	similar offenses;
12	(F) 10 counties in California detained ap-
13	proximately 700 people per month for an aver-
14	age of 3 days as a result of a failure to pay and
15	driving with a suspended license; and
16	(G) according to the Department of Jus-
17	tice on the investigation of the Ferguson Police
18	Department, revenue collection, not public safe-
19	ty, was the primary impetus behind the collec-
20	tion of fines and fees.
21	(5) There is no clear evidence that fines and
22	fees are an effective crime deterrent.
23	(6) Defendants released from custody with no
24	financial penalty return to court at the same rate as
25	defendants released on financial hand

1	(7) The burden of fines and fees is dispropor-
2	tionately shouldered by low-income communities and
3	communities of color, which in turn aggravates and
4	perpetuates poverty and racial inequalities.
5	(8) Cities with larger black populations fine
6	residents more on a per capita basis and are more
7	reliant on fines. A 1 percent increase in a black pop-
8	ulation is associated with a 5 percent increase in per
9	capita revenue from fines and a 1 percent increase
10	in share of total revenue from fines.
11	(9) In addition, data on the extent to which in-
12	dividuals are jailed or otherwise penalized because of
13	their inability to pay fee-only offenses are insuffi-
14	ciently developed, preventing a full picture of the
15	pervasiveness of targeted fees, as well as the repet-
16	itive impact on individuals from both low-income
17	communities and communities of color.
18	(10) Individuals gave up necessities like rent,
19	food, medical bills, car payments, and child support,
20	in order to pay down their court debt.
21	(11) Thirty-eight percent of people surveyed
22	committed a crime to pay off their court debt.
23	(12) Driver's licenses are often suspended auto-
24	matically when cases are transferred to private col-

1	lectors and are not restored until debts are paid in
2	full.
3	(13) Thirty States continue to require payment
4	of all legal financial obligations before voting rights
5	are restored, effectively disenfranchising individuals
6	because of an inability to pay.
7	(14) Many jurisdictions across the country rely
8	on fines and fees as a primary revenue source.
9	(15) A 2019 analysis of fine revenues found
10	that—
11	(A) fines are a critical source of funding,
12	at times accounting for more than half of all
13	general revenues;
14	(B) fines and fees account for more than
15	10 percent of general fund revenues for nearly
16	600 jurisdictions, and in at least 284 of those,
17	the share exceeded 20 percent, while another 80
18	governments reported even higher fines ac-
19	counting for more than half of general reve-
20	nues;
21	(C) annual revenues exceeding \$100 for
22	every adult resident, while 363 exceeded \$200
23	per adult in all the governments analyzed;

1	(D) the States with the highest fines and
2	fees revenue are Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana,
3	New York, Oklahoma, and Texas; and
4	(E) jurisdictions where fines and forfeit-
5	ures accounted for more than 20 percent of
6	general fund revenues recorded a median house-
7	hold income of only \$39,594.
8	(16) The dependency on fines and fees creates
9	a harmful incentive for courts to levy fines and fees
10	on indigent individuals regardless of the severity of
11	the crime.
12	(17) However, some jurisdiction spent more
13	than the revenue they raised collecting fees, there-
14	fore losing money through this system.
15	(18) In some jurisdictions like New Orleans the
16	cost of incarcerating individuals unable to pay fines,
17	fees, and monetary bail exceeded the revenue gen-
18	erated from those practices.
19	(19) Some jurisdictions in Texas and New Mex-
20	ico spent 41 cents of every dollar of revenue they
21	raise from fees and fines on in-court hearings and
22	jail costs alone.
23	(20) In almost every State and the District of
24	Columbia, juvenile courts impose court costs, fines,
25	and fees on youth, their families, or both. These

1	costs may increase recidivism, increase the potential
2	of future jail or prison time, exacerbate racial in-
3	equality, and increase the economic and emotional
4	distress of low-income families.
5	(21) Imposing fines and fees on minors and
6	their families is ineffective as a revenue-generating
7	measure, often because minors in the criminal jus-
8	tice system come from indigent families. Imposing
9	these fines and fees increases recidivism and eco-
10	nomic and emotional hardship on families.
11	(b) Purpose.—The purpose of this Act is to create
12	a grant program to provide technical assistance and train-
13	ing to State and local courts to—
14	(1) improve the constitutional and equitable en-
15	forcement of fines and fees;
16	(2) improve practices regarding the use of fines
17	and fees and their equitable enforcement when used;
18	and
19	(3) collect data to better understand the re-
20	search and best practices of State and local courts
21	on a Federal level.
22	SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.
23	Section 202 of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984
24	(42 U.S.C. 10701) is amended—

1	(1) in paragraph (7), by striking "and" at the
2	end;
3	(2) in paragraph (8), by striking the period at
4	the end and inserting a semicolon; and
5	(3) by adding at the end the following:
6	"(9) 'constitutionally adequate notice' means a
7	citation or summons that adequately informs an in-
8	dividual of—
9	"(A) the precise offense with which the in-
10	dividual is charged;
11	"(B) the amount currently owed by the in-
12	dividual and other possible penalties;
13	"(C) consequences for nonpayment;
14	"(D) the method and means for accepting
15	payments;
16	"(E) the date of any court hearing;
17	"(F) the availability of alternate means of
18	payment;
19	"(G) the rules and procedures of the court;
20	"(H) the rights of the individual as a liti-
21	gant; and
22	"(I) whether the individual is required to
23	appear in court in person;
24	"(10) 'fees'—

1	"(A) means monetary fees that are im-
2	posed for the costs of fine surcharges or court
3	administrative fees; and
4	"(B) includes additional late fees, pay-
5	ment-plan fees, interest added if an individual
6	is unable to pay a fine in its entirety, collection
7	fees, and any additional amounts that do not
8	include the fine;
9	"(11) 'fines' means monetary fines imposed for
10	punishment; and
11	"(12) 'surcharge' means a monetary amount
12	added to a fine as a flat amount or a percentage.".
13	SEC. 4. CONSTITUTIONAL ENFORCEMENT OF FINES AND
13 14	SEC. 4. CONSTITUTIONAL ENFORCEMENT OF FINES AND FEES.
14	FEES.
14 15 16	FEES.  (a) Duties of the Institute.—Section 203(b) of
14 15 16	FEES.  (a) DUTIES OF THE INSTITUTE.—Section 203(b) of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C.
14 15 16 17	(a) Duties of the Institute.—Section 203(b) of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10702(b)) is amended—
14 15 16 17	FEES.  (a) DUTIES OF THE INSTITUTE.—Section 203(b) of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10702(b)) is amended—  (1) in paragraph (3), by striking "and" at the
114 115 116 117 118	FEES.  (a) Duties of the Institute.—Section 203(b) of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10702(b)) is amended—  (1) in paragraph (3), by striking "and" at the end;
114 115 116 117 118 119 220	FEES.  (a) DUTIES OF THE INSTITUTE.—Section 203(b) of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10702(b)) is amended—  (1) in paragraph (3), by striking "and" at the end;  (2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FEES.  (a) DUTIES OF THE INSTITUTE.—Section 203(b) of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10702(b)) is amended—  (1) in paragraph (3), by striking "and" at the end;  (2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FEES.  (a) DUTIES OF THE INSTITUTE.—Section 203(b) of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10702(b)) is amended—  (1) in paragraph (3), by striking "and" at the end;  (2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and  (3) by adding at the end the following:

1	(b) PURPOSES OF GRANTS.—
2	(1) In General.—Section 206(a) of the State
3	Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10705(a))
4	is amended—
5	(A) in paragraph (6), by striking "and" at
6	the end;
7	(B) in paragraph (7), by striking the pe-
8	riod at the end and inserting "; and; and
9	(C) by adding at the end the following:
10	"(8) provide technical assistance and training
11	to State and local courts to develop and implement
12	best policies and practices for the constitutional and
13	equitable enforcement of fines and fees that incor-
14	porate guidance that—
15	"(A) courts should not incarcerate or issue
16	an arrest warrant for an individual for the non-
17	payment of a fine or fee without first con-
18	ducting an ability-to-pay determination and es-
19	tablishing that the failure to pay was inten-
20	tional;
21	"(B) courts should consider alternatives to
22	incarceration for defendants who are currently
23	unable to pay fines;
24	"(C) courts should not condition access to
25	a judicial hearing on the prepayment of a fine

1	or fee or a promise of future payment of a fine
2	or fee;
3	"(D) courts should provide constitutionally
4	adequate notices and counsel in cases in which
5	a fine or fee will be imposed;
6	"(E) courts should not initiate driver's li-
7	cense suspension procedures for nonpayment of
8	a fine or fee;
9	"(F) if courts choose to issue an arrest
10	warrant or suspend a driver's license as a
11	means of coercing an individual to pay a fine or
12	fee owed to the court, courts should not do so
13	if the individual has not been afforded constitu-
14	tionally adequate procedural protections;
15	"(G) courts should determine the ability to
16	pay of an individual at sentencing prior to de-
17	termining a constitutional and equitable fine
18	and fee;
19	"(H) courts should reduce and waive fines
20	and fees if the court has discretion in cases
21	where the imposition of fines and fees would be
22	unconstitutional and inequitable or cause undue
23	hardship to the individual;
24	"(I) courts should avoid adopting manda-
25	tory fines and fees for misdemeanors and traf-

1	fic-related and other low-level offenses and in-
2	fractions;
3	"(J) courts should grant judges the au-
4	thority and discretion to modify sanctions after
5	sentencing if the circumstances of the defend-
6	ant change, including that the ability of the de-
7	fendant to pay a fine or fee becomes a hard-
8	ship;
9	"(K) courts should adopt education re-
10	quirements for judges and court personnel on
11	issues related to all relevant constitutional and
12	procedural principles relating to fines and fees;
13	"(L) courts should not impose a fine, fee,
14	or any other penalty for the participation of an
15	individual in community service programs or
16	other alternative sanctions;
17	"(M) if courts utilize community service
18	programs or alternative service sanctions, best
19	practice and standards for those programs
20	should be used, including fair wage attribution,
21	caps on number of hours performed, and per-
22	missible activities of service;
23	"(N) courts should not order or extend
24	probation or other court-ordered supervision ex-

1	clusively for the purpose of collecting fines, fees,
2	or costs;
3	"(O) courts should not charge interest on
4	payment plans entered into by a defendant, re-
5	spondent, or probationer; and
6	"(P) courts should consider the use of
7	community service credits such as completing
8	community service hours, domestic violence
9	counseling, and drug treatment programs, as an
10	alternative to payments.".
11	(2) Regulations.—Not later than 90 days
12	after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Ex-
13	ecutive Director of the State Justice Institute shall
14	promulgate regulations to implement the amend-
15	ments made by paragraph (1), including—
16	(A) the information that shall be included
17	in an application for funding under section 206
18	of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42
19	U.S.C. 10705); and
20	(B) any other requirements applicable to
21	grantees under that section.
22	(e) Records and Reports.—Section 211(a) of the
23	State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10710(a))
24	is amended—

1	(1) by striking "The Institute" and inserting
2	"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Institute"; and
3	(2) by adding at the end the following:
4	"(2) Report on fines and fees.—
5	"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Institute shall re-
6	quire that a recipient of a grant awarded for
7	the purpose described in paragraph (8) of sec-
8	tion 206(a) shall submit to the Institute an an-
9	nual report that includes, for the previous 12-
10	month period—
11	"(i) the number of new admissions to
12	jail or prison due to failures to pay fines
13	or fees;
14	"(ii) the number of new admissions to
15	jail or prison due to failure to appear when
16	the underlying offense is a failure to pay
17	a fine or fee;
18	"(iii) the number and type of alter-
19	natives considered for defendants who are
20	unable to pay fees and fines;
21	"(iv) the number of times a judicial
22	hearing was contingent upon the prepay-
23	ment of fines and fees, including hearing
24	fees if the court deems the defendant ineli-
25	gible for a fee waiver;

1	"(v) the number of times constitu-
2	tionally adequate notices were provided to
3	counsel in cases in which a fine or fee will
4	be imposed;
5	"(vi) the number of times an arrest
6	warrant or driver's license suspension was
7	used as a means of coercing an individual
8	to pay a fine or fee owed to the court;
9	"(vii) the number of additional fees
10	imposed by the department of motor vehi-
11	cles to get a driver's license reinstated or
12	suspension lifted;
13	"(viii) the number of times monetary
14	bail practices were used that caused de-
15	fendants to stay incarcerated due to their
16	inability to pay a fine or fee;
17	"(ix) the number of times voter dis-
18	enfranchisement was used as a result of an
19	individual's inability to pay a fine or a fee
20	owed to the court;
21	"(x) a disaggregation of the data de-
22	scribed in this subparagraph by race, gen-
23	der, and disability status; and

1	"(xi) any other additional statistical
2	data that the Director determines should
3	be collected and reported.
4	"(B) WAIVER.—The Director shall have
5	discretion to waive statistical data reporting re-
6	quirements under subparagraph (A) that are
7	not available to a recipient of a grant.
8	"(C) Report to congress.—The Insti-
9	tute shall submit to the Bureau of Justice Sta-
10	tistics and to the Committee on Appropriations
11	and Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate
12	and the Committee on Appropriations and the
13	Committee on the Judiciary of the House of
14	Representatives an annual report on the data
15	submitted under subparagraph (A).".
16	(d) Study.—
17	(1) In general.—Not later than 3 years after
18	the date on which grants are first awarded for the
19	purpose described in paragraph (8) of section 206(a)
20	of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984, as added
21	by subsection (b) of this section, the Executive Di-
22	rector of the State Justice Institute shall conduct a
23	study on the effectiveness such grants on the con-
24	stitutional enforcement of targeted fines and fees by
25	State and local courts.

1	(2) Report.—Not later than 180 days after
2	the date on which the Executive Director of the
3	State Justice Institute completes the study under
4	paragraph (1), the Executive Director shall submit
5	to Congress a report on the study and any policy
6	recommendations that the Executive Director deter-
7	mines are appropriate.
8	(e) Authorization of Appropriations.—Section
9	215 of the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C.
10	10713) is amended, in the first sentence by striking
11	"\$7,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2005, 2006, 2007,
12	and 2008" and inserting "\$27,000,000 for each of fiscal
13	years 2022 through 2027, of which \$20,000,000 shall be
14	authorized to be appropriated for grants under paragraph
15	(8) of section 206(a)".