STRICTLY PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL Softlines New Organisation Structure Proposal (Project Tiger)

Executive Summary: The purpose of this document is to provide an overview of proposed structural changes to the EU Softlines business consistent with the SOC documents already shared. The paper summarises the current status of the organisation and the changes proposed to deliver the Softlines' mission and strategic priorities.

The objective of this paper is to summarise the changes proposed, the communication and transition plan and timings, generate discussion on these proposals, and to gain approval for this reorganisation. This project is named Project Tiger.

Summary: Softlines' mission is to be each customer's most loved fashion destination. In 2015, Softlines implemented a new organisation structure with the objective to allow the business to scale, leverage the knowhow of teams across all countries and minimise duplication of tasks. As a result of this restructure,

Due to the Hands off the Wheel initiatives, Spans of Control and other business learnings, Softlines is reviewing the organisation structure again to ensure it operates optimally to achieve its mission.

In order to guide our work, we developed the following tenets (unless you know better ones):

- 1. The new Softlines organisation structure will enable us to become each customer's most loved fashion destination.
- 2. The proposed structure will increase proximity to the customer, speed of decision making and allow us to be more agile to adapt to customer, vendor, seller and business requirements and retain Day One culture.
- 3. We Keep It Super Simple (KISS), but not simplistic. We believe in having single-threaded owners. The new structure removes bureaucracy and ensures clarity of business priorities.
- 4. When headcount reductions are realised, we reinvest in areas that accelerate delivery of our mission and improve the customer experience.
- 5. Category Directors / Heads / Leaders retain ownership of the Total P&L and the voice of the customer.
- 6. The structure adheres to all Amazon guidelines, for example, spans of control and headcount budgets, and is consistent with our leadership principles.
- 7. The employee experience throughout the transition process is as positive as possible, ensures retention of our talent and results in an engaged and high performing team. Employee relocation will be avoided. Skill sets will be closely matched to proposed roles and training will be provided where necessary.
- 8. Vendors are smoothly managed through the change ensuring their needs are met and sales, and profitability continue to grow so we meet or beat our performance targets (inputs and outputs).

Project Tiger combines all Hands off the Wheel (HOTW) programs and Amazon spans of control guidelines. Deep dives have been completed to review the Site Merchandising, Instock Management (ISM), Planning and Pricing functions. This has resulted in the recommendation to

1

Instock Management, Planning & Pricing: The current organisations for Planning, Pricing and Instock were put in place when
Softlines transitioned to a pan-EU business,
enabled greater focus on brands across the EU5 marketplaces driving improvement in CX, selection, vendor terms and
growth.
Since this change a number of business, process and systems changes have occurred:
- Indeed in a change a number of business, process and systems changes have occurred.
- There is a significant increase in the level of automation across all GLs —
- Current and future tools and automation developments supporting SL specific use cases –
In addition to these changes and based on assessing the loss of progress in these areas with
in dudition to these changes and based on assessing the loss of progress in these areas with
This will ensure focus is regained on these areas, and we leverage this team to ensure all categories and countries receive the support required.
A review of the Instock, Planning and Pricing structure has taken place and the following structure is proposed. The top line
summary is: Softlines Inventory Management
To facilitate close working, the structure of the team is aligned
with
Please see below summary organisation chart.
Planning While the key tasks for planning
while the key tasks for planning

Pricing Excellence	While this helps
with execution of pricing tasks, and other tasks, the structure	cture does
	creasingly important both from a system point of view
We propose to	
	will be used to scale and expand the automation levels
we have attained	in a more efficient manner.
Vendor Operations	Shift the focus of the
vendor Operations	The teams will focus on
in 2019, we would	In order to bridge the gap to
III 2015, We would	
Amazon Vendor Services (AVS): In order to enhance	als of this program are to
	als of this program are to
Business Development: We have created a	
The objective of this function is	
ongoing management of the brand will move to the relevant	Category Management team.
Category Management: As a result of these changes the	Within this proposed
structure	Trialin and proposed
Category leaders will seek to achieve	

Given these changes, in order to	
it as the new organization embeds through	This will be done during the following actions:
1.	the following accions.
Ensure clarity that the category mana following implementation of	
3.	the new structure.
	nd business development, and less so on tactical operations.
4. In addition to point 2, structures, they retain	to ensure focus on their categories'
priorities.	to charte focus on their categories
5. Category Leaders and Heads of VM wi	II for both our customers
and vendors. This will involve	nis a more important and leveraged activity that remains lightweight.
6. We expect	There may be scope for some categories
, though we expect thi	s to be the exception rather than the rule. We plan to manage this transition through
roles as the	in our proposal. We will evolve this structure and re-purpose
Toles as the	
As a result of	
program, categories'	
- Vendor Management: As part of the	review of our organisation structure we are also reviewing
	We will approach Vendor Management productivity and structure
recommendations from (1) manage vs	We
are in the process of finalizing our	
recommendation, we reflect having	
We are also reviewi	na
shoes and apparel. We believe that all	
The local country	teams already
focus on the	
those vendors have	and that this recommendation aligns with Org 2.0.
We will use the following tenets (unless you 1.	ı know better ones) when completing this review:
4.	
2. When brands belong to a group	we will base our decision on
3. Strategic brands	
to grow their business and dr	ive growth.
4. in one of	are determined by indexed are determined by
	nage the
6. We will	(more on this through a different workstream)
Private Brands: Private Brands will	at this time with regards to the above proposed changes, other than Spans
of Control.	
	5

Pros of the Proposed Structure	
 Develop a project critical path that covers all stages of the transition and present the stages of the transition and present that covers all stages of the transition and the transition	
as soon as possible in order to be resolved - Ensure consistency in communication and approach throughout the transiti - Develop a project critical path that covers all stages of the transition and pr	
- Provide support to	Minedioff
the business is impacted minimally from both these projects are: - Ensure transparency from announcement, with clear timelines and comme	Key learnings with regards to the change process
and thorough	One kev learning from these projects is that a full
Country Parity: Due to the introduction of Spans of Control, organisation structure. minimal changes to the	the deprecation of
The table below provides a summary of the	
As a result of these changes,	realised to enable
Within this new structure the traditional category functions. This combined with the span of control guidelines will have been focussed on a career path This will predominately impact the as promoted from. Due to a move towards development opportunities and long-term career paths will be established career development tools and new online training modules to help employe them.	for employees who this is the function where the We will utilize

implementation of	activities. This project has recommended			
- Accelerated Growth we continue to	: Enables us to accelerate growth by The areas of focus for this investment are	rience roles where		
- Ownership: The pr Organisation.	oposed structure provides	the EU Softlines		
	ent: Category management roles are of time on	enabling them to on behalf of		
- Speed of Delivery: E increased clarity of r functions, results in	ole and opportunities for career progression. Our current structure with distributed of	will also result in ownership of these		
quicker. -	Duplication of workload is reduced due to Realisation of this will be achieved throug to accelerate delivery in roles			
achievement of the Softlines' vision. - Stakeholder Management: The Softlines organisation is moving to an enterprise structure requiring additional stakeholder management across functions rather than development which will be supported through training and coaching. Mechanisms and processes will be required to ensure clarity of responsibilities and communication across the organisation.				
Cons of the Proposed St	ructure			
These changes result in significant it may be perceived that they have been in addition, some individuals who have been in may require clarification of their long term career opportunities. Resource and focus needs to be maintained centralised resource is not consumed by the This has been designed to be avoided by creating				
	Following implementation it is essential this is audited by directors and function head	s through business		
the move to	career path for developing talent to multi-function Category Director roles	given We will need to		
map out an appropr	iate path (or paths) to Category Director and Functional Director, and envisage this			
	ur communication we will be stressing that although manager may will be affirming that this message.	nsation is		
Proposed Timeline				
Date	Summary of Activity			
31 January	Review Tiger proposal with and make amendments as required			
8 February	As part of the EU OLR, brief country managers on the proposed changes with initial sharing of SOC document already done			
w/c 19 February	Communicate changes to Category Leaders and consult with them on communication plans, team members proposed moves and change management training			
19 February – 12	Work with the category leaders to ensure the roles identified for each impacted			

Communication of the reorganisation to the Softlines business

w/c 12 March

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

26 March	New organisation implemented
26 March	Roll out of engagement strategy to support engagement with the new structure

Appendix 1: Topline Organisation Charts for the Softlines Structure Proposed

M-Team Structure:











12